Dues against M/s. Carews

1989. SHRI H. HANUMANTHAPPA:

SHRI RAJNI RANJAN SAHU:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Calcutta High Court in its order on SLP has directed Carews to pay 50 per cent of the amount due on combiflam;

(b) when this order was passed and what are the details thereof;

(c) what is the position of recovery from this company in respect of other products;

(d) what were the products involved when the company crossed the limit of Rs. 50 lakhs and what is being done to other products;

(e) whether it is a fact that company is carrying on business under shadow names; and

(f) if so, what is the exact, position and what action has been taken against the company?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI EDUARDO FALEIRO): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) to (f) The requisite information, to the extent available, shall be collected and laid on the Table of the House.

Trade Commission and promotional expenses incurred by companies

1990. DR. NARREDDY THULASI REDDY: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 517 given in Rajya Sabha on 26th November, 1992 and state:

(a) the names of the companies which have submitted details in Form 6 to the Government during 1991-92;

(b) the amount of trade commission and promotional expenses on formulations incurred by each company in 1991-92 separately and what percentage of the total expenses do they form;

(c) whether Government propose to conduct any study on the genuineness and reasonableness of these expenses; and

(d) if so, what are the findings thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI EDUARDO FALEIRO): (a) and (b) To the extent available, the required details as per Form-6 for 1991-92 are given in the enclosed Statement. (*See* below) Promotional expense is not required to be monitored under the DPCO, '87.

(c) There is no such proposal under consideration.

(d) Does not arise.